IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEINO JOHNSON,)
Plaintiff,))) CIVIL ACTION
vs.) FILE No.
NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC.)
and 248 SOUTH STREET LLC,	
)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, KEINO JOHNSON, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this, his Complaint against Defendants, NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC. and 248 SOUTH STREET LLC, pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* ("ADA") and the ADA's Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 ("ADAAG"). In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows this Court as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, based upon Defendants' failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff KEINO JOHNSON (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is, and has been at all times relevant to the instant matter, a natural person residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia County).
 - 3. Plaintiff is disabled as defined by the ADA.
- 4. Plaintiff is required to traverse in a wheelchair and is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to: walking, standing, grabbing, grasping and/or pinching.
 - 5. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes.
- 6. Defendant NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC. (hereinafter "NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC.") is a Pennsylvania domestic corporation, and transacts business in the state of Pennsylvania and within this judicial district.
- 7. NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC. operates a business located at 248 South Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147, doing business as "Nora's Taqueria and Grill," referenced herein as the "Facility."
- 8. NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC. may be properly served with process via service, to wit: 248 South Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147 (Philadelphia County).
 - 9. Defendant 248 SOUTH STREET LLC (hereinafter "248 SOUTH

STREET LLC") is a domestic limited liability company that transacts business in the state of Pennsylvania and within this judicial district.

- 10. 248 SOUTH STREET LLC is the owner and/or operator of the real property and improvements that the Facility(ies) is/are situated upon and that is the subject of this action, referenced herein as the "Property."
- 11. 248 SOUTH STREET LLC may be properly served with process for service, to wit, its owner: 248 SOUTH STREET LLC, 605 Dickinson Street Philadelphia, PA 19147, (Philadelphia County).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. On or about November, 2017, Plaintiff was a customer at Nora's Taqueria and Grill and also attempted to utilize the restroom at the Facility.
 - 13. Plaintiff lives in the near vicinity of the Facility and Property.
- 14. Plaintiff's access to the business(es) located at 248 South Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147, Pennsylvania County Property Appraiser's parcel number OPA 871298850 ("the Property,") and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, foods, drinks, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein were denied and/or limited because of his disabilities, and he will be denied and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove the physical barriers to access and correct the ADA violations that exist at

the Facility and Property, including those set forth in this Complaint.

- 15. Plaintiff has visited the Facility at least once before and intends on revisiting the Facility once the Facility is made accessible.
- 16. Plaintiff intends to revisit the Facility and Property to purchase goods and/or services.
- 17. Plaintiff travelled to the Facility and Property as a customer, encountered the barriers to access at the Facility and Property that are detailed in this Complaint, engaged those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access present at the Facility and Property.
 - 18. Plaintiff resides one-half mile from the Facility and Property.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA AND ADAAG

- 19. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq*.
 - 20. Congress found, among other things, that:
 - (i) some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole is growing older;
 - (ii) historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with

- disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem;
- (iii) discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas as employment, housing public accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting, and access to public services;
- (iv) individuals with disabilities continually encounter various forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, overprotective rules and policies, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices, exclusionary qualification standards and criteria, segregation, and relegation to lesser service, programs, activities, benefits, jobs, or other opportunities; and
- (v) the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous, and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and nonproductivity.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1) - (3), (5) and (9).

- 21. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:
- (i) provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- (ii) provide a clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and

* * * * *

(iv) invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day-to-day by people with disabilities.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1)(2) and (4).

- 22. The congressional legislation provided places of public accommodation one and a half years from the enactment of the ADA to implement its requirements.
- 23. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).
 - 24. The Facility is a public accommodation and service establishment.
 - 25. The Property is a public accommodation and service establishment.
- 26. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice and Office of Attorney General promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
- 27. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or by January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

- 28. The Facility must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
- 29. The Property must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
- 30. Plaintiff has attempted to, and has to the extent possible, accessed the Facility and the Property in his capacity as a customer of the Facility and Property, but could not fully do so because of his disabilities resulting from the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.
- 31. Plaintiff intends to visit the Facility and Property again in the very near future as a customer in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations commonly offered at the Facility and Property, but will be unable to fully do so because of his disability and the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or

accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

- 32. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff (and others with disabilities) by denying his access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility and Property, as prohibited by, and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 33. Defendants will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the Facility and Property, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Facility and Property accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities.
- 34. A specific list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which Plaintiff experienced and/or observed that precluded and/or limited Plaintiff's access to the Facility and Property and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Facility and Property include, but are not limited to:

(a) ACCESSIBLE ELEMENTS:

- (i) There are changes in level in the Property and/or Facility exceeding ½ (one-half) inch that are not ramped in violation of section 303.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. Specifically, there is an approximately 5 (five) inch vertical rise at the entrance to the Facility that is not ramped, thus rendering the Facility inaccessible. This violation made it dangerous and difficult for Plaintiff to access the units of the Property.
- (ii) The doorway of the accessible entrance is not level in violation of section 404.2.4.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to access the units of the Property.
- (iii) Due to the accessible barrier present at the accessible entrance, the Facility lacks at least one accessible route provided within the site to the public streets and sidewalks in violation of section 206.2.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (iv) The Facility lacks an access route from site arrival points such as the public streets and sidewalks to the accessible entrance in violation of section 206.2.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (v) There is not at least one accessible entrance to each tenant space in the building that complies with section 404 of the 2010 ADAAG standards which is a violation of section 206.4.5 of the 2010 ADAAG Standards.

- (vi) The interior of the Facility has sales and services counters lacking any portion of the counter that has a maximum height of 36 (thirty-six) inches from the finished floor in violation of section 904.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, all portions of the sales and service counter exceed 36 (thirty-six) inches in height from the finished floor. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to properly transact business at the Facility.
- (vii) Defendants fails to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all facilities are readily accessible to and usable by disabled individuals.
- 35. Due to the barriers to access present at the Property and Facility, despite best efforts and an intent to purchase items, Plaintiff was unable to access the Property and Facility due to his disability.
- 36. The violations enumerated above may not be a complete list of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the Facility and Property.
- 37. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Facility and Property in order to determine all of the discriminatory conditions present at the Facility and Property in violation of the ADA.
 - 38. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA

violations alleged herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without significant difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

- 39. All of the violations alleged herein are readily achievable to modify to bring the Facility and Property into compliance with the ADA.
- 40. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because the nature and cost of the modifications are relatively low.
- 41. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because Defendants have the financial resources to make the necessary modifications.
- 42. Upon information and good faith belief, the Facility and Property have been altered since 2010.
- 43. In instances where the 2010 ADAAG standards do not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standards apply, and all of the alleged violations set forth herein can be modified to comply with the 1991 ADAAG standards.
- 44. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm

unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including those alleged herein.

- 45. Plaintiff's requested relief serves the public interest.
- 46. The benefit to Plaintiff and the public of the relief outweighs any resulting detriment to Defendants.
- 47. Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of litigation from Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12188 and 12205.
- 48. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), this Court is provided authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including the issuance of an Order directing Defendants to modify the Facility and Property to the extent required by the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- (a) That the Court find Defendant, NORA'S MEXICAN GRILL INC., in violation of the ADA and ADAAG;
- (b) That the Court find Defendant, 248 SOUTH STREET LLC, in violation of the ADA and ADAAG;
- (c) That the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing their discriminatory practices;
- (d) That the Court issue an Order requiring Defendants to (i) remove the

physical barriers to access and (ii) alter the subject Facility to make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA;

- (e) That the Court award Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs; and
- (f) That the Court grant such further relief as just and equitable in light of the circumstances.

Dated: January 24, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Eric Brauer Eric Brauer, Esq. Pennsylvania Bar No. 43624 Brauer & Schapiro, LLC 638 Newtown Yardley Road, Suite 2B Newtown, PA 18940

Tel: (215) 953-9100 eric@ebrauerlaw.com